

1 The influence of facultative endosymbionts on honeydew carbohydrate and
2 amino acid composition of the black bean aphid *Aphis fabae*

3
4 SHARON SCHILLEWAERT¹, THOMAS PARMENTIER¹, AMELIE VANTAUX^{1,2}, WIM VAN DEN ENDE³
5 CHRISTOPH VORBURGER^{4,5} and TOM WENSELEERS¹

6
7 ¹Laboratory of Socioecology and Social Evolution, Biology Department, KU Leuven, Belgium, ² Institut
8 Pasteur du Cambodge, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, ³ Laboratory of Molecular Plant Biology, Biology
9 Department, KU Leuven, Belgium, ⁴ Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich,
10 Switzerland and ⁵ Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, 8600 Dübendorf,
11 Switzerland

12
13
14
15
16
17 Correspondence: Sharon Schillewaert, Laboratory of Socioecology and Social Evolution, Zoological
18 Institute, University of Leuven, Naamsestraat 59, box 2466, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium. Tel: +32 16 37
19 76 72; e-mail: sharonschillewaert@gmail.com

Abstract

The facultative endosymbionts *Hamiltonella defensa* and *Regiella insecticola* are commonly found in aphids. They are linked with various ecological benefits but generally occur at low prevalence, which indicates a possible harboring cost. Little is known about how the presence of facultative endosymbionts is reflected in honeydew composition. Honeydew is the key mediator of the aphids' mutualism with tending ants. The present study examines whether endosymbionts have an influence on aphid honeydew quality by comparing the amino acid and carbohydrate concentrations between infected and uninfected aphids. To this end, two genetic lines of the aphid *Aphis fabae* Scopoli were experimentally infected with different strains of *Hamiltonella* and *Regiella*. Infected aphids were shown to have reduced concentrations of amino acids in the honeydew compared to uninfected aphids. However, the presence of endosymbionts had no effect on the absolute amount of carbohydrates produced. Nevertheless, interclonal variation in honeydew composition between aphid genotypes was observed for both carbohydrate and amino acid production. These results imply that the nutritional value of honeydew depends on aphid genotype as well as on the presence of secondary bacterial endosymbionts, which suggests there is a physiological cost of harboring endosymbionts and which could also impact aphid attractiveness to tending ants.

Keywords: Aphids, Honeydew, Endosymbiosis, Mutualism, *Aphis fabae*, *Hamiltonella*, *Regiella*

Introduction

Insects have formed facultative or obligate relationships with multiple bacteria. This is called endosymbiosis when the bacteria reside inside the host (Buchner, 1965). Endosymbiotic bacteria have no free-living state and cannot propagate when taken out of their host (Hinde, 1971). In aphids, several endosymbioses have been well characterized, with almost all aphids harboring at least one symbiotic prokaryote, *Buchnera aphidicola* (Munson *et al.*, 1991). This symbiont plays a prominent role in nutrition by aiding in synthesis of nutrients that are lacking in the diet, mainly providing essential amino acids (Buchner, 1965; Douglas, 1996). Aside from the obligate mutualistic symbiosis between aphids and the intracellular bacteria *Buchnera*, which is commonly referred to as the primary symbiont (Buchner, 1965), aphids can also have several species of facultative secondary symbionts. The relationship of these secondary symbionts with their host can be parasitic, commensal or mutualistic, depending on the bacterial species and the environment (Douglas *et al.*, 2001; Zytynska & Weisser, 2015).

Beneficial ecological influences of the secondary endosymbionts *Hamiltonella defensa* and *Serratia symbiotica* have been shown to be related to heat tolerance (Chen *et al.*, 2000; Montllor *et al.*, 2002; Russell & Moran, 2006), whereas *Regiella insecticola* can increase fungal pathogen resistance (Scarborough *et al.*, 2005) and change host plant suitability (Tsuchida *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, particular strains of all aforementioned endosymbionts can also increase parasitoid resistance (Oliver *et al.*, 2003; Vorburger *et al.*, 2009; Vorburger *et al.*, 2010; Asplen *et al.*, 2014). Recently, a combination of the aforementioned benefits was also discovered to be associated with carrying strains of an endosymbiotic bacterium known as X-type, which belongs to the Enterobacteriaceae (Heyworth & Ferrari, 2015). Parasitic influences are related to septicemia of tissues leading to death of the host (Costechareyre *et al.*, 2012) and negative effects on growth, fecundity and longevity of the host (Fukatsu *et al.*, 2001; Simon *et al.*, 2011; Vorburger & Gouskov, 2011). From the experiments mentioned above, it is clear that the impact of the endosymbionts, and whether they are beneficial or detrimental, is highly species and genotype dependent (Koga *et al.*, 2007; Asplen *et al.*, 2014;

Vorburger, 2014) and sometimes even reliant on symbiont by symbiont interaction effects (Oliver *et al.*, 2006; Tsuchida *et al.*, 2014). Secondary symbionts can also play a role in aphid nutrition. The symbiont *Serratia*, for example, has been shown to synthesize several essential amino acids, thereby successfully replacing the function of *Buchnera* (Douglas & Prosser, 1992; Koga *et al.*, 2003), and it has even evolved into a co-obligate symbiont in aphids of the genus *Cinara* (Lamelas *et al.*, 2011). However, symbionts *Regiella* and *Hamiltonella* have lost all essential amino acid biosynthetic pathways except for those relating to the production of two essential amino acids, threonine and lysine (Degnan *et al.*, 2009; Degnan *et al.*, 2010).

Aphids are very successful and often considered as pest species because of their impact on agricultural crops, causing damage by direct feeding and by transmission of plant diseases. Aphids are plant-sucking insects and can become very numerous thanks to their predominantly asexual mode of reproduction. The diet of the aphids consists of the phloem sap of plants, a sugar-rich but nitrogen and amino acid-poor fluid. As a consequence of this diet aphids excrete honeydew, a waste product that contains large amounts of both simple and complex carbohydrates and also a small amount of amino acids and vitamins (Mittler, 1958). Osmoregulation is widely accepted as the main reason for honeydew and complex carbohydrate production (Downing, 1978; Fisher *et al.*, 1984; Wilkinson *et al.*, 1997; Douglas, 2003), but honeydew composition can be influenced by several factors. Honeydew carbohydrate composition is variable between aphid species (Volkl *et al.*, 1999), aphid genotypes (Vantaux *et al.*, 2011; Katayama *et al.*, 2013), aphid instars (Fischer *et al.*, 2002), host plants (Volkl *et al.*, 1999; Fischer & Shingleton, 2001; Fischer *et al.*, 2005), seasons (Wool *et al.*, 2006) and can be influenced by ant-tending (Fischer & Shingleton, 2001; Yao & Akimoto, 2002). Amino acid composition is variable between aphid species (Sandström & Moran, 2001; Woodring *et al.*, 2004), aphid instars and the presence or absence of primary endosymbiont *Buchnera* (Sasaki *et al.*, 1990) and can increase in response to ant-tending (Yao & Akimoto, 2002). However, there is no indication of a different amino acid composition between host plants (Sandstrom & Pettersson, 1994) or in function of seasonal differences in phloem sap composition (Yao & Akimoto, 2002).

Honeydew is a key factor that drives the mutualism between ants and aphids. It is a high nutrition food source for ants and in return the ants protect aphids against natural enemies (Nixon, 1951; Banks, 1962; Buckley, 1987). The mutualistic interaction between ants and aphids could also exert a selective pressure on the composition of honeydew, to maximize the attractiveness and nutritional value for the ants (Volkl *et al.*, 1999) as the aphids benefit from the visits of ants (Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990; Flatt & Weisser, 2000).

This study investigates the role of the facultative secondary endosymbionts *Hamiltonella* and *Regiella* on the honeydew composition of *Aphis fabae* Scopoli, and thereby evaluates if harboring endosymbionts could influence ant-aphid mutualisms. Presence of endosymbionts would be expected to affect honeydew composition if the endosymbionts compete for (i) host niche with *Buchnera*, thereby resulting in reduced amino acid production by *Buchnera* (Koga *et al.*, 2003; Sakurai *et al.*, 2005) and/or (ii) essential amino acids and carbohydrates (Chandler *et al.*, 2008). Our results demonstrate that presence of the secondary endosymbionts decreased total amino acid concentrations in the honeydew, but that they had no effect on carbohydrate concentrations in the honeydew. We suggest that the decrease in amino acid concentration could indicate a physiological or ecological cost of harboring the secondary endosymbionts.

Material and methods

Study organism

The studied black bean aphid (*Aphis fabae*) is a common aphid species in the northern hemisphere. It is a polyphagous species and has a wide range of secondary host plants ranging from cultivated to wild plants (Stroyan, 1984). Based on those secondary host plants it is possible to distinguish four different subspecies of *A. fabae*. In this study, we focused on *A. fabae fabae*, which has broad bean (*Vicia faba*) as the main summer host plant. The winter host plants, mainly the European spindle tree

(*Euonymus europaeus*), are used in autumn for winter survival of eggs deposited after sexual reproduction. In spring the eggs hatch and viviparous, parthenogenetic females start reproducing, after which offspring migrates to the secondary host plants where they reproduce asexually for the remainder of the spring and summer. The honeydew of *A. fabae* is highly dependent on genotype, with especially the production of the trisaccharide melezitose being highly variable across aphid genotypes (Vantaux *et al.*, 2011). The studied aphids belong to two clones collected in Switzerland on *Chenopodium album*, hereafter referred to as clone A and B. Clone A can be categorized as a low melezitose clone with melezitose levels <1%, and clone B as a high melezitose clone with melezitose levels >20% in honeydew samples.

A PCR screening showed that both clones were clear of any of the known secondary symbionts (Vorburger *et al.*, 2009). Subsequently, they were experimentally infected with one of each of two strains of *Regiella* (R49 and R5.15) or one of each of four strains of *Hamiltonella* (H30, H323, H76, HAF6). This generated 13 different lines, as infection of R5.15 only succeeded in one of the aphid genotypes. Hereafter, the different infected lines are referred to respectively as R1, R2, H1, H2, H3 and H4. Infection occurred via microinjection, as described in Vorburger *et al.* (2010). The clonal lines were propagated asexually on *Vicia faba* plants (seeds from Somers Seeds NV, Mechelen, Belgium) under controlled laboratory conditions (LD 16:8 h photocycle, 20 ± 1 °C and 65-70% humidity).

Collection of honeydew

Vicia faba plants were grown from seeds in universal garden soil. Two weeks after sowing, the plants were inoculated with aphids to collect honeydew as previously described in Vantaux *et al.* (2011). Ten aphids (adults or fourth instar) were transferred to an apical leaf enclosed in a plastic box (50x25x30 mm). After 24h the numbers of aphids that survived were counted to take into account how many aphids had successfully settled on the plant and therefore had contributed to the production of the honeydew. This survival measurement does not distinguish between a settlement

cost and survival costs related to the presence of endosymbionts. The box and leaf were rinsed with 400 µL of a 0.02% sodium azide solution. The obtained mixture was boiled for 5 min, dried out overnight in an oven at 60 °C, re-dissolved in 200µL of 0.02% sodium azide solution and stored at -20 °C until further analysis. For every aphid line 5 to 7 samples were obtained following this protocol.

Carbohydrate and amino acid analysis

Before carbohydrate analysis, all samples were passed through a mixed-bed Dowex column to obtain a neutral fraction (Van den Ende *et al.*, 1996). This was done by allowing 50 µl of sample solution followed by 1.2 mL of distilled water to pass through the column. The samples were then centrifuged for 5 min and stored at -20 °C until they could be chemically analysed. To analyse the amino acids, each sample solution was diluted 5 times before the chemical analyses.

High performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD, Dionex ICS 3000, Sunnyvale, California) was used to analyse the carbohydrates and amino acids present in all honeydew samples (see protocol described in Vantaux *et al.* (2011) and Gijbels *et al.* (2014). The molar concentrations of the different carbohydrates and amino acids in each analysed sample were estimated by comparing the area under the chromatogram peaks with standards using the software package Chromeleon (Dionex, Sunnyvale, California). Overall we could detect 8 different carbohydrates and all 20 primary amino acids in the honeydew samples. Due to column interference only 17 amino acids were used in the final analyses. The missing amino acids had only minor contributions to the total amino acid concentration in the honeydew samples.

Statistical analysis

To analyse the absolute concentrations of all compounds found in the honeydew we used linear mixed models (Bates *et al.*, 2014) with a Gaussian distribution on log transformed data to fulfill the assumptions. The full model consisted of a random intercept model with aphid genotype, symbiont species and their interaction as fixed factors, the number of aphids coded as a continuous covariate and symbiont strain nested within the species and replicate coded as random intercepts, to take into account repeated measurement of the same aphid genotypes (Schank & Koehnle, 2009). A backward stepwise model simplification based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to arrive at a minimum adequate model considering the structure of the experimental setup. This resulted in omitting the interaction term for all compounds. All results are displayed as least square means and 95% CI. Species differences were corrected for multiple comparisons with a Tukey's post hoc test. All statistical analyses were performed in R 3.2.0 (R core team, 2015).

Results

Amino acids

A general pattern of reduced total amino acid concentration was observed in the lines infected with secondary endosymbionts. A decrease of 51 % was detected for *Hamiltonella* (347.72 CI [280.14-431.6]; P-value=0.008) and a 47 % decrease for *Regiella* (373.05 CI [261.13-532.93]; P-value=0,04) compared to the uninfected lines (706.95 CI [461.73-1082.41]) (Fig. 1a). For 9 of the 17 individual amino acids this trend was significant for at least one endosymbiont species (Fig. 2).

The major amino acid compounds (>10% of total amino acid concentration) were arginine, asparagine and glycine for both aphid genotypes. Minor amino acid compounds (<1% of total amino acid concentration) were glutamine, ornithine and methionine, with methionine absent from all honeydew samples. For 11 of the 17 individual amino acids there were significant differences in the concentration between the aphid genotypes (Fig. 3) with a general trend of lower concentrations in

clone B, resulting in a 36 % lower total concentration of amino acid in the honeydew compared to clone A (clone A: 506.13 CI [355.91-621.97]; clone B: 324.40 CI [240.27-391.21]; P-value: 0.01) (Fig. 1b).

Carbohydrates

The two aphid clones showed significant differences in concentration of several carbohydrates. Clone A showed increased fructose (P-value= 0.03), maltose (P-value= 0.005) and maltotriose (P-value= 0.02) production but a decreased melezitose (P-value <0.001) production compared to clone B. The total concentration of carbohydrates did not show significant differences between the two clones (Fig. 4). The monosaccharide fructose was the most abundant sugar followed by glucose for both aphid clones and as already known the trisaccharide melezitose was only detected in clone B. However, the endosymbionts showed no significant influence on the concentration of the carbohydrates found in the honeydew (P-value=0.54).

Discussion

Little is known about how the presence of facultative endosymbionts *Hamiltonella* and *Regiella* influences the composition of aphid honeydew. The present results clearly demonstrate that secondary endosymbionts have an impact on honeydew quality, thus suggesting that there is a significant cost to harbor them. Indeed, the amount of amino acids found in the honeydew of infected aphids was consistently reduced for both *Hamiltonella* and *Regiella* infected aphids compared to uninfected aphids, while the total amount of carbohydrates was not affected. A harboring cost is also consistent with the fact that secondary endosymbionts occur at moderate or low frequencies despite conferring specific benefits (Oliver *et al.*, 2006; Vorburger & Gouskov, 2011; Zytynska & Weisser, 2015). Presence of endosymbionts would be expected to be costly if they compete for host niche and/or limited resources with *Buchnera*, resulting in reduced *Buchnera*

densities and amino acid production. Both primary and secondary endosymbionts are hosted in special cells and declining densities of *Buchnera* with aphid age have been observed when a coinfection occurred with *Serratia*, whereas *Serratia* densities increased until a plateau was reached (Koga *et al.*, 2003). Similarly, co-infection with an endosymbiont of the genus *Rickettsia*, another common facultative endosymbiont in aphids, resulted in lower densities of *Buchnera* (Sakurai *et al.*, 2005). An additional cost can arise if secondary endosymbionts compete for essential amino acids. The infection of *A. fabae* with *Hamiltonella* and *Regiella* showed a diet-dependent impact on aphid fitness with a reduced performance when reared on diets with lower amino acid concentrations (Chandler *et al.*, 2008). Further research, however, would be required to determine which of these factors best explain the reduced amino acid secretion in the honeydew of *A. fabae*.

Depending on aphid species, different essential amino acids may be absent from the honeydew (Woodring *et al.*, 2004). In our experiment, for example, the essential amino acid methionine was absent from all honeydew samples. This is a common pattern, as methionine has also been shown to be absent from the honeydew of other aphid species feeding on wheat (Sandström & Moran, 2001), broad bean plants (Sasaki *et al.*, 1990) and tansy (Woodring *et al.*, 2004). The absence of essential amino acids from honeydew suggest the presence of resource limitations, and resource limitation combined with competition from secondary endosymbionts has earlier been shown to result in significant fitness costs in *A. fabae* (Chandler *et al.* 2008).

Besides the intraspecific variation in melezitose production, this study reveals an aphid genotype-dependant variation in amino acid concentration as well. More genotypes should be studied to know if the detected decrease of amino acid concentration found in the honeydew of the low melezitose genotype is linked to the lack of melezitose production or to aphid genotype-dependent population sizes of the primary endosymbiont *Buchnera* (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). Alternatively, the significant difference in amino acid concentration in the honeydew could indicate a difference in resilience to the presence of secondary endosymbionts (Scarborough *et al.*, 2005; Russell & Moran, 2006; Łukasik *et al.*, 2013).

Finally, melezitose concentrations also showed aphid genotype-related variation, as had previously been observed by Vantaux *et al.* (2011). The lack of melezitose production in clone A, however, was completely compensated for by increased production of the other carbohydrates, as there was no notable difference in total amount of carbohydrates found in the honeydew of the two aphid clones. Vantaux *et al.* (2011) compared the honeydew of several low- and high-melezitose *A. fabae* clones and found interclonal differences in glucose, melezitose and total carbohydrate production but no general trends to explain the lack of melezitose in low-melezitose clones. In our study, clone A seemed to compensate the lack of melezitose production mainly by excreting the monosaccharide fructose. The presence of oligosaccharides, e.g. melezitose, in the honeydew of aphids are generally seen as a mechanism to deal with the osmotic stress related to the ingestion of large amounts of phloem sap (Downing, 1978; Fisher *et al.*, 1984; Wilkinson *et al.*, 1997; Douglas, 2003). However, from our results, it appears that clone A must have evolved a different strategy to deal with osmotic pressure without affecting honeydew production or fitness (Vantaux *et al.*, 2011; Vantaux *et al.*, 2015). In the future, this intraspecific variation may be exploited as a model for further studies into the regulation of gut osmolarity in aphids.

Previous results have shown that melezitose is also an important carbohydrate in the ant-aphid mutualism (Kiss, 1981; Volkl *et al.*, 1999; Woodring *et al.*, 2004; Detrain *et al.*, 2010). Higher melezitose concentrations in honeydew, for example, have been shown to increase ant attendance (Volkl *et al.*, 1999; Woodring *et al.*, 2004). The ant – aphid interaction is mainly based on honeydew as a carbohydrate source and even though for many honeydew collecting ant species this source seems to fulfill most nutritional demands (Alsina *et al.*, 1988; Fiedler *et al.*, 2007; Domisch *et al.*, 2009), predation of aphids is also observed (Sakata, 1994; Offenberg, 2001; Billick *et al.*, 2007). Besides variability in carbohydrates, amino acid variability is proposed to play a role in ant preference (Blüthgen & Fiedler, 2004). The nutritional requirements of ants, especially the protein demands, can have seasonal fluctuations that go along with brood production and increased predation rate on aphids (Abril *et al.*, 2007). In general, ants prefer a carbohydrate solution with amino acids over a

pure carbohydrate solution (Blüthgen & Fiedler, 2004) and ant-tended aphid species have higher amino acid concentrations (Lanza *et al.*, 1993; Woodring *et al.*, 2004). Some ant species have also been shown to prefer amino acid-rich food sources (Lanza *et al.*, 1993; Lee Cassill & Tschinkel, 1999). In addition, aphids appear to be able to maintain a constant amino acid concentration across different seasons (Yao & Akimoto, 2002) and when reared on different host plants (Sandstrom & Pettersson, 1994), and the drepanosiphid aphid (*Tuberculatus quercicola*) produced more amino acid-rich honeydew when ant tended (Yao & Akimoto, 2002). Overall, these results indicate that the ant-aphid mutualism exerts positive selection for an increased nutritional value of honeydew. Consequently, the presence of secondary endosymbionts and concomitant changes in the nutritional value of the honeydew would also be expected to affect the ant-aphid mutualism and ant attractiveness. Earlier, volatile compounds from bacterial origin have also been shown to affect the ant attractiveness of aphids (Leroy *et al.*, 2011; Fischer *et al.*, 2015). Finally, the presence of secondary endosymbionts could induce a higher predation rate, to compensate the reduced amino acid concentration in the honeydew and satisfy the nutritional requirements of the tending ants. In the future, these ideas would be interesting to test further in controlled laboratory trials.

Overall, our study shows that aphid honeydew composition is affected not just by aphid genotype but also by the presence or absence of secondary endosymbionts. These results suggest that harboring secondary endosymbionts carries significant fitness costs. Future studies could be aimed at testing whether the altered nutritional value of the honeydew also impacts the ant-aphid mutualism.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by KU Leuven Excellence Center project PF/2010/007. We would like to thank An Vandoren, Rudy Vergauwen and Timmy Reijnders for technical support.

References

- Abril, S., Oliveras, J. and Gómez, C. (2007) Foraging activity and dietary spectrum of the Argentine ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in invaded natural areas of the northeast Iberian Peninsula. *Environmental Entomology*, **36**, 1166-1173.
- Alsina, A., i Sureda, X.C., Retana, J. and Bosch, J. (1988) Foraging ecology of the aphid-tending ant *Campanotus cruentatus* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) in a savanna-like grassland. *Miscel·lània Zoològica*, **12**, 195-204.
- Asplen, M.K., Bano, N., Brady, C.M., *et al.* (2014) Specialisation of bacterial endosymbionts that protect aphids from parasitoids. *Ecological Entomology*, **39**, 736-739.
- Banks, C. (1962) Effects of the ant *Lasius niger* (L.) on insects preying on small populations of *Aphis fabae* Scop. on bean plants. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **50**, 669-679.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. and Walker, S. (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **67**, 1–48.
- Billick, I., Hammer, S., Reithel, J.S. and Abbot, P. (2007) Ant-aphid Interactions: are ants friends, enemies, or both? *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, **100**, 887-892.
- Blüthgen, N. and Fiedler, K. (2004) Preferences for sugars and amino acids and their conditionality in a diverse nectar feeding ant community. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **73**, 155-166.
- Buchner, P. (1965) Endosymbiosis of animals with plant microorganisms. *Chichester, UK: John Wiley*.
- Buckley, R.C. (1987) Interactions involving plants, homoptera, and ants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **18**, 111-135.
- Chandler, S.M., Wilkinson, T.L. and Douglas, A.E. (2008) Impact of plant nutrients on the relationship between a herbivorous insect and its symbiotic bacteria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 565-570.
- Chen, D.Q., Montllor, C.B. and Purcell, A.H. (2000) Fitness effects of two facultative endosymbiotic bacteria on the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, and the blue alfalfa aphid, *A. kondoi*. *Entomologia Experimentalis Et Applicata*, **95**, 315-323.
- Costechareyre, D., Balmand, S., Condemine, G. and Rahbé, Y. (2012) *Dickeya dadantii*, a plant pathogenic bacterium producing cyt-like entomotoxins, causes septicemia in the pea aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *PloS one*, **7**, e30702.
- Degnan, P.H., Leonardo, T.E., Cass, B.N., *et al.* (2010) Dynamics of genome evolution in facultative symbionts of aphids. *Environmental Microbiology*, **12**, 2060-2069.
- Degnan, P.H., Yu, Y., Sisneros, N., Wing, R.A. and Moran, N.A. (2009) *Hamiltonella defensa*, genome evolution of protective bacterial endosymbiont from pathogenic ancestors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 9063-9068.

321 Detrain, C., Verheggen, F.J., Diez, L., Wathelet, B. and Haubruge, E. (2010) Aphid-ant mutualism: how
322 honeydew sugars influence the behaviour of ant scouts. *Physiological Entomology*, **35**, 168-
323 174.

324 Domisch, T., FinER, L., Neuvonen, S., *et al.* (2009) Foraging activity and dietary spectrum of wood ants
325 (*Formica rufa* group) and their role in nutrient fluxes in boreal forests. *Ecological*
326 *Entomology*, **34**, 369-377.

327 Douglas, A., Darby, A. and Walters, K.F.A. (2001) The ecological significance of symbiotic
328 microorganisms in animals: perspectives from the microbiota of aphids, pp 306-325,
329 Cambridge Univ Pr.

330 Douglas, A.E. (1996) Reproductive failure and the free amino acid pools in pea aphids (*Acyrtosiphon*
331 *pisum*) lacking symbiotic bacteria. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **42**, 247-255.

332 Douglas, A.E. (2003) The nutritional physiology of aphids. *Advances in Insect Physiology*, **31**, 73-140.

333 Douglas, A.E. and Prosser, W.A. (1992) Synthesis of the essential amino-acid tryptophan in the pea
334 aphid (*Acyrtosiphon pisum*) symbiosis. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **38**, 565-568.

335 Downing, N. (1978) Short communications: Measurements of the osmotic concentrations of stylet
336 sap, haemolymph and honeydew from an aphid under osmotic stress. *Journal of*
337 *Experimental Biology*, **77**, 247-250.

338 Fiedler, K., Kuhlmann, F., Schlick-Steiner, B., Steiner, F. and Gebauer, G. (2007) Stable N-isotope
339 signatures of central European ants—assessing positions in a trophic gradient. *Insectes*
340 *sociaux*, **54**, 393-402.

341 Fischer, C.Y., Lognay, G.C., Detrain, C., *et al.* (2015) Bacteria may enhance species association in an
342 ant–aphid mutualistic relationship. *Chemoecology*, **25**, 223-232.

343 Fischer, M.K. and Shingleton, A.W. (2001) Host plant and ants influence the honeydew sugar
344 composition of aphids. *Functional Ecology*, **15**, 544-550.

345 Fischer, M.K., Völkl, W. and Hoffmann, K.H. (2005) Honeydew production and honeydew sugar
346 composition of polyphagous black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on
347 various host plants and implications for ant-attendance. *European Journal of Entomology*,
348 **102**, 155-160.

349 Fischer, M.K., Volkl, W., Schopf, R. and Hoffmann, K.H. (2002) Age-specific patterns in honeydew
350 production and honeydew composition in the aphid *Metopeurum fuscoviride*: implications
351 for ant-attendance. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **48**, 319-326.

352 Fisher, D.B., Wright, J.P. and Mittler, T.E. (1984) Osmoregulation by the aphid *Myzus persicae*: a
353 physiological role for honeydew oligosaccharides. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **30**, 387-393.

354 Flatt, T. and Weisser, W.W. (2000) The effects of mutualistic ants on aphid life history traits. *Ecology*,
355 **81**, 3522-3529.

356 Fukatsu, T., Tsuchida, T., Nikoh, N. and Koga, R. (2001) *Spiroplasma* symbiont of the pea aphid,
 357 *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Insecta: Homoptera). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **67**,
 358 1284-1291.

359 Gijbels, P., Van den Ende, W. and Honnay, O. (2014) Landscape scale variation in nectar amino acid
 360 and sugar composition in a Lepidoptera pollinated orchid species and its relation with fruit
 361 set. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 136-144.

362 Heyworth, E.R. and Ferrari, J. (2015) A facultative endosymbiont in aphids can provide diverse
 363 ecological benefits. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **28**, 1753-1760.

364 Hinde, R. (1971) The fine structure of the mycetome symbiotes of the aphids *Brevicoryne brassicae*,
 365 *Myzus persicae*, and *Macrosiphum rosae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **17**, 2035-2045, 2047-
 366 2050.

367 Hölldobler, B. and Wilson, E.O. (1990) The ants, Belknap Press.

368 Katayama, N., Tsuchida, T., Hojo, M. and Ohgushi, T. (2013) Aphid genotype determines intensity of
 369 ant attendance: do endosymbionts and honeydew composition matter? *Annals of the*
 370 *Entomological Society of America*, **106**, 761-770.

371 Kiss, A. (1981) Melezitose, aphids and ants. *Oikos*, **37**, 382-382.

372 Koga, R., Tsuchida, T. and Fukatsu, T. (2003) Changing partners in an obligate symbiosis: a facultative
 373 endosymbiont can compensate for loss of the essential endosymbiont *Buchnera* in an aphid.
 374 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, **270**, 2543-2550.

375 Koga, R., Tsuchida, T., Sakurai, M. and Fukatsu, T. (2007) Selective elimination of aphid
 376 endosymbionts: effects of antibiotic dose and host genotype, and fitness consequences.
 377 *Fems Microbiology Ecology*, **60**, 229-239.

378 Lamelas, A., Gosalbes, M.J., Manzano-Marín, A., Peretó, J., Moya, A. and Latorre, A. (2011) *Serratia*
 379 *symbiotica* from the aphid *Cinara cedri*: a missing link from facultative to obligate insect
 380 endosymbiont. *PLoS Genet*, **7**, e1002357.

381 Lanza, J., Vargo, E.L., Pulim, S. and Chang, Y.Z. (1993) Preferences of the fire ants *Solenopsis invicta*
 382 and *S. geminata* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) for amino acid and sugar components of
 383 extrafloral nectars. *Environmental Entomology*, **22**, 411-417.

384 Lee Cassill, D. and Tschinkel, W. (1999) Regulation of diet in the fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*. *Journal of*
 385 *Insect Behavior*, **12**, 307-328.

386 Leroy, P.D., Sabri, A., Heuskin, S., et al. (2011) Microorganisms from aphid honeydew attract and
 387 enhance the efficacy of natural enemies. *Nature communications*, **2**, 348.

388 Łukasik, P., Dawid, M.A., Ferrari, J. and Godfray, H.C.J. (2013) The diversity and fitness effects of
 389 infection with facultative endosymbionts in the grain aphid, *Sitobion avenae*. *Oecologia*, **173**,
 390 985-996.

391 Mittler, T. (1958) Studies on the feeding and nutrition of *Tuberolachnus salignus*
392 (Gmelin)(Homoptera, Aphididae) II. The nitrogen and sugar composition of ingested phloem
393 sap and excreted honeydew. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **35**, 74-84.

394 Montllor, C.B., Maxmen, A. and Purcell, A.H. (2002) Facultative bacterial endosymbionts benefit pea
395 aphids *Acyrtosiphon pisum* under heat stress. *Ecological Entomology*, **27**, 189-195.

396 Munson, M.A., Baumann, P. and Kinsey, M.G. (1991) *Buchnera* gen. nov. and *Buchnera aphidicola* sp.
397 nov., a taxon consisting of the mycetocyte-associated, primary endosymbionts of aphids.
398 *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **41**, 566-568.

399 Nixon, G.E.J. (1951) The association of ants with aphids and coccids. *Commonwealth Institute of*
400 *Entomology, London*, pp. 35.

401 Offenberg, J. (2001) Balancing between mutualism and exploitation: the symbiotic interaction
402 between *Lasius* ants and aphids. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **49**, 304-310.

403 Oliver, K.M., Moran, N.A. and Hunter, M.S. (2006) Costs and benefits of a superinfection of
404 facultative symbionts in aphids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **273**,
405 1273-1280.

406 Oliver, K.M., Russell, J.A., Moran, N.A. and Hunter, M.S. (2003) Facultative bacterial symbionts in
407 aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
408 **100**, 1803-1807.

409 R core team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for*
410 *Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria*. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.

411 Russell, J.A. and Moran, N.A. (2006) Costs and benefits of symbiont infection in aphids: variation
412 among symbionts and across temperatures. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological*
413 *Sciences*, **273**, 603-610.

414 Sakata, H. (1994) How an ant decides to prey on or to attend aphids. *Researches on Population*
415 *Ecology*, **36**, 45-51.

416 Sakurai, M., Koga, R., Tsuchida, T., Meng, X.Y. and Fukatsu, T. (2005) *Rickettsia* symbiont in the pea
417 aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum*: Novel cellular tropism, effect on host fitness, and interaction
418 with the essential symbiont *Buchnera*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 4069-
419 4075.

420 Sandström, J. and Moran, N. (2001) Amino acid budgets in three aphid species using the same host
421 plant. *Physiological Entomology*, **26**, 202-211.

422 Sandstrom, J. and Pettersson, J. (1994) Amino acid composition of phloem sap and the relation to
423 intraspecific variation in pea aphid (*Acyrtosiphon pisum*) performance. *Journal of Insect*
424 *Physiology*, **40**, 947-955.

425 Sasaki, T., Aoki, T., Hayashi, H. and Ishikawa, H. (1990) Amino acid composition of the honeydew of
 426 symbiotic and aposymbiotic pea aphids *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*,
 427 **36**, 35-40.

428 Scarborough, C.L., Ferrari, J. and Godfray, H.C.J. (2005) Aphid protected from pathogen by
 429 endosymbiont. *Science*, **310**, 1781-1781.

430 Schank, J.C. and Koehnle, T.J. (2009) Pseudoreplication is a pseudoproblem. *Journal of Comparative*
 431 *Psychology*, **123**, 421.

432 Simon, J.C., Boutin, S., Tsuchida, T., *et al.* (2011) Facultative symbiont infections affect aphid
 433 reproduction. *PloS one*, **6**, e21831.

434 Stroyan, H. (1984) Aphids-Pterocommatidae and Aphidinae (Aphidini)—Handbooks for the
 435 identification of British insects Vol. 2 part 6, Part 6, pp. 129-138, Royal Entomological Society
 436 of London, London, U.K.

437 Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Fujiwara, A. and Fukatsu, T. (2014) Phenotypic effect of "*Candidatus*
 438 *Rickettsiella viridis*," a facultative symbiont of the pea aphid (*Acyrtosiphon pisum*), and its
 439 interaction with a coexisting symbiont. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, **80**, 525-533.

440 Tsuchida, T., Koga, R. and Fukatsu, T. (2004) Host plant specialization governed by facultative
 441 symbiont. *Science*, **303**, 1989-1989.

442 Vantaux, A., Schillewaert, S., Parmentier, T., Van Den Ende, W., Billen, J. and Wenseleers, T. (2015)
 443 The cost of ant attendance and melezitose secretion in the black bean aphid *Aphis fabae*.
 444 *Ecological Entomology*, **40**, 511-517.

445 Vantaux, A., Van den Ende, W., Billen, J. and Wenseleers, T. (2011) Large interclone differences in
 446 melezitose secretion in the facultatively ant-tended black bean aphid *Aphis fabae*. *Journal of*
 447 *Insect Physiology*, **57**, 1614-1621.

448 Volkl, W., Woodring, J., Fischer, M., Lorenz, M.W. and Hoffmann, K.H. (1999) Ant-aphid mutualisms:
 449 the impact of honeydew production and honeydew sugar composition on ant preferences.
 450 *Oecologia*, **118**, 483-491.

451 Vorburger, C. (2014) The evolutionary ecology of symbiont-conferred resistance to parasitoids in
 452 aphids. *Insect Science*, **21**, 251-264.

453 Vorburger, C., Eugster, B., Villiger, J. and Wimmer, C. (2010) Host genotype affects the relative
 454 success of competing lines of aphid parasitoids under superparasitism. *Ecological*
 455 *Entomology*, **35**, 77-83.

456 Vorburger, C. and Gouskov, A. (2011) Only helpful when required: a longevity cost of harbouring
 457 defensive symbionts. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **24**, 1611-1617.

- Vorburger, C., Sandrock, C., Gouskov, A., Castaneda, L.E. and Ferrari, J. (2009) Genotypic variation and the role of defensive endosymbionts in an all-parthenogenetic host-parasitoid interaction. *Evolution*, **63**, 1439-1450.
- Wilkinson, T.L., Ashford, D.A., Pritchard, J. and Douglas, A.E. (1997) Honeydew sugars and osmoregulation in the pea aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **200**, 2137-2143.
- Woodring, J., Wiedemann, R., Fischer, M., Hoffmann, K. and Völkl, W. (2004) Honeydew amino acids in relation to sugars and their role in the establishment of ant attendance hierarchy in eight species of aphids feeding on tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*). *Physiological Entomology*, **29**, 311-319.
- Wool, D., Hendrix, D.L. and Shukry, O. (2006) Seasonal variation in honeydew sugar content of galling aphids (Aphidoidea: Pemphigidae: Fordinae) feeding on *Pistacia*: host ecology and aphid physiology. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **7**, 141-151.
- Yao, I. and Akimoto, S.I. (2002) Flexibility in the composition and concentration of amino acids in honeydew of the drepanosiphid aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola*. *Ecological Entomology*, **27**, 745-752.
- Zhang, Y.-C., Cao, W.-J., Zhong, L.-R., Godfray, H.C.J. and Liu, X.-D. (2016) Host plant determines the population size of an obligate symbiont (*Buchnera aphidicola*) in aphids. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **82**, 2336-2346.
- Zytynska, S.E. and Weisser, W.W. (2015) The natural occurrence of secondary bacterial symbionts in aphids. *Ecological Entomology*, **41**, 13-26.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Total amino acid concentration found in honeydew of *Aphis fabae* for (a) the uninfected (none) and infected lines with *Regiella insecticola* (R) and *Hamiltonella defensa* (H) and (b) the two aphid genotypes. Significant differences after post-hoc test (Tukey) are indicated with * for $P \leq 0.05$.

Fig. 2. Mean concentration of the minor (<1%), intermediate (<10%), and major (>10%) amino acid components found in honeydew of *Aphis fabae* for the uninfected (none) and infected lines with *Regiella insecticola* and *Hamiltonella defensa* (% of total amino acid concentration). Significant differences after post-hoc correction (Tukey) are indicated with * for $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$ and with *** for $P \leq 0.001$.

Fig. 3. Mean concentration of the minor (<1%), intermediate (<10%), and major (>10%) amino acid components found in honeydew of *Aphis fabae* for the two aphid genotypes (% of total amino acid concentration). Significant differences between the genotypes for individual components are indicated with * for $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$ and with *** for $P \leq 0.001$.

Fig. 4. Mean concentration of the (a) minor (<5%) and (b) major (>5%) compounds and the total carbohydrate concentration found in honeydew of *Aphis fabae* for the two aphid genotypes (% of total carbohydrate concentration). Significant differences between the genotypes for individual compounds is indicated with * for $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$ and with *** for $P \leq 0.001$.